arXiv:1403.7352v1 [gr-qc] 28 Mar 2014

Entropy of isolated horizon from surface term of gravitational action

Cheng-Yong Zhang[1]*, Yu Tian[2,4]†, Xiao-Ning Wu[3,4,5]‡, Shao-Jun Zhang[1]§

1. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
2. School of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
3. Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
4. State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190
5. Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, CAS, Beijing 100190, China

Abstract

Starting from the surface term of gravitational action, one can construct a Virasoro algebra with central extension, with which the horizon entropy can be derived by using Cardy formula. This approach gives a new routine to calculate and interpret the horizon entropy. In this paper, we generalize this approach to a more general case, the isolated horizon, which contains non-stationary spacetimes beyond stationary ones. By imposing appropriate boundary conditions near the horizon, the full set of diffeomorphism is restricted to a subset where the corresponding Noether charges form a Virasoro algebra with central extension. Then by using the Cardy formula, we can derive the entropy of the isolated horizon.

1 Introduction

Since the discovery of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of black holes [1, 2], physicists have put enormous enthusiasm and endeavor to explain its microscopic origin. One of the approaches is to use symmetry to count states which was proposed by Strominger [3]. This method can be traced back to Brown and Henneaux's work in 1986 [4]. They found that, under the imposed boundary conditions at infinity, the asymptotic symmetry group of AdS_3 is a pair of Virasoro algebra with central extension, implying that any consistent quantum

*zhangcy@sjtu.edu.cn
†ytian@ucas.ac.cn
‡wuxn@amss.ac.cn
§sjzhang84@sjtu.edu.cn

theory of gravity on AdS_3 is a conformal field theory (CFT). While the Cardy formula [5, 6, 7] determines the asymptotic density of states of CFT entirely in terms of the Virasoro algebra and is independent of other details of the theory. So Strominger computed the black hole entropy by using the Cardy formula and reproduced the standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole. Later, this method was developed by Carlip [8, 9]. He proposed a set of boundary conditions near the horizon rather than at infinity which also leads to a Virasoro subalgebra with a calculable central charge. Several other related approaches have been developed. One can refer to [10] and the references therein for a review.

In all of the works mentioned above, the analysis is on shell and the bulk action is employed. Motivated by the fact that York-Gibbons-Hawking term [11, 12] is also closely related to the entropy of the horizon, and the surface and bulk terms of action encode the same amount of information [13], Majhi and Padmanabhan (MP) introduced Noether current associated with the surface term of the gravity action [14, 15]. The diffeomorphisms related to the Noether current preserve the near horizon metric in some non-singular coordinates. Given the Noether current and diffeomorphism, there is a natural Virasoro algebra with central charge. The central charge and zero mode eigenvalue of the Fourier modes of the charges then lead to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy via the Cardy formula. In [16], this work was generalized to the modified gravity with high curvature corrections and the corresponding Wald entropy was derived. This approach is also successfully generalized to other cases [17]. It shows that the approach based on Virasoro algebra and central charge from the surface term of gravitational action is general.

The spacetimes considered in the previous work are all static. In this paper, we want to extend this approach to more general spacetimes, non-stationary ones, which will not only cover static spacetimes, but also stationary and non-stationary ones. However, for general non-stationary spacetimes, event horizon is hard to define and is not applicable for calculation. Here the isolated horizon (IH) proposed by Ashtekar can be an appropriate choice to realize our purpose [18]. Isolated horizon is a generalization of Killing horizon of black hole. It is well known that all stationary horizon satisfy the definition of isolated horizon [19]. For the non-stationary case, its near horizon spacetime can also be described by the isolated horizon [20]. In general, we do not have the explicit form of the metric on the IH from its definition. Luckily, in MP's method, only the near horizon limit of metric of IH [21] is needed. Thus we can calculate the entropy of IH based on the surface term. This generalization is not straightforward since we only know the near horizon limit metric of IHs. In previous work, the spacetimes have well defined symmetries. For example, the spacetimes considered in [16] are spherical symmetry. So they need only to consider the invariance of the $r-t$ plane and the r, t components of the diffeomorphism vector. The other components vanish. Here, in our case, we do not know the symmetries of the near horizon metric of IHs. There is no special reason why the other components vanish. Thus we should consider the general cases. Unlike the previous work, we impose a suitable boundary conditions near the horizon from the very beginning

to restrict the asymptotic diffeomorphism vectors. The symmetry of horizon is not very important since we need only to keep it invariant at higher order. It turns out that the asymptotic diffeomorphism vectors forms a Witt algebra and the corresponding charges of these vectors form a Virasoro algebra with central extension. Then by using Cardy formula from CFT, we can derive the entropy of IHs. We should note that such boundary conditions are well satisfied but not proposed explicitly in previous work. These boundary conditions are necessary which make the definition of asymptotic diffeomorphism vectors more precisely.

Our paper is organized as follows. We first review the general framework of MP's method in section 2. In section 3, the near horizon metric of IH in Bondi-like coordinate is introduced. The boundary conditions for the diffeomorphisms related to the Noether current is imposed in section 4. The asymptotic diffeomorphism vectors are also derived. In section 5, we calculate the corresponding entropy. We give the summary and discussions in section 6.

2 Noether current from the surface term

For the sake of completeness, we briefly review the general framework of the method based on the surface term of gravitational action [10, 14, 15, 16] in this section. The Gibbons-Hawking term in Einstein gravity is

$$A_{surf} = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_{\partial M}\sqrt{\gamma}d^3xK = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_M \sqrt{g}d^4x\nabla_a(KN^a). \quad (1)$$

Here γ_{ab} and $K=-\nabla_a N^a$ are the induced metric and the trace of the extrinsic curvature of boundary ∂M of the region M, respectively. N^a is the unit normal vector of ∂M. Under a general diffeomorphism transformation $x^a \to x^a+\xi^a$, the Lagrangian density changes by

$$\delta_\xi(\sqrt{g}L) \equiv \mathcal{L}_\xi(\sqrt{g}L) = \sqrt{g}\nabla_a(L\xi^a), \quad (2)$$

where $L=\frac{1}{8\pi G}\nabla_a(KN^a)$. This is a total derivative so that the action has only a surface contribution. For convenience, we take an abbreviation $A^a \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi G}KN^a$ and then $L=\nabla_a A^a$. We have

$$\delta_\xi(\sqrt{g}\nabla_a A^a) \equiv \mathcal{L}_\xi(\sqrt{g}\nabla_a A^a) = \sqrt{g}\nabla_a[\nabla_b(A^a\xi^b) - A^b\nabla_b\xi^a]. \quad (3)$$

Equating (2) and (3), we get the conserved Noether current

$$J^a[\xi] = \nabla_b J^{ab}[\xi] = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\nabla_b[K(\xi^a N^b - \xi^b N^a)]. \quad (4)$$

The corresponding charge is defined as

$$Q[\xi] = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \sqrt{h}d\Sigma_{ab}J^{ab}. \quad (5)$$

Here $d\Sigma_{ab} = -d^2x(N_aM_b - N_bM_a)$ is the surface element of the 2-dimensional surface Σ, h is the determinant of the corresponding induced metric. N^a and

M^a are asymptotic spacelike and timelike unit normals of Σ in the near horizon limit. The brackets among the charges are defined by [10]

$$[Q_1, Q_2] \quad := \quad \delta_{\xi_1} Q[\xi_2] - \delta_{\xi_2} Q[\xi_1] = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{h} d\Sigma_{ab} \left[\xi_2^a J^b[\xi_1] - \xi_1^a J^b[\xi^2]\right]. \quad (6)$$

This definition has not used any field equation and thus is off-shell. In the following section, we will see that it leads to a Virasoro algebra with central extension. With the help of central charge and Cardy formula, the entropy of isolated horizon can be derived.

3 The near horizon geometry of extreme isolated horizons

In this section, we review the near horizon limit metric of isolated horizon briefly. One can refer to [21] for details. Roughly speaking, IH is a non-expansion light cone Δ with almost stationary inner geometry (h, D). Here h is the induced metric and D the induced derivative operator. The generator l of Δ is shear free and keeps h_{ab} and D, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_l h_{ab} \hat{=} \mathcal{L}_l D \hat{=} 0$ (Here "$\hat{=}$" means equality holds only on the horizon Δ).

We introduce the Bondi-like coordinates to describe the near horizon geometry of IH [22]. In this coordinate system, we have a complex null tetrad $\{n, l, m, \bar{m}\}$ which could be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \partial_r, \\ l &= \partial_u + U\partial_r + X\partial_\zeta + \bar{X}\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}, \\ m &= W\partial_r + \xi\partial_\vartheta + \zeta\partial_{\bar{\vartheta}}, \\ \bar{m} &= \bar{W}\partial_r + \bar{\xi}\partial_{\bar{\vartheta}} + \bar{\zeta}\partial_\vartheta. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Vectors $l, m, \bar{m}$ span the tangent space to Δ and the metric could be written as

$$g^{ab} = -l^a n^b - n^a l^b + m^a \bar{m}^b + m^b \bar{m}^a. \quad (8)$$

By definition, it is obvious that coefficients

$$U \hat{=} X \hat{=} W \hat{=} 0 \quad (9)$$

Choosing Bondi gauge $\nabla_n(n, l, m, \bar{m}) = 0$, the near horizon metric of IH in Bondi-like coordinates finally turn out to be

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\kappa_l r + (h_{ab} f_2^a f_2^b - f_1) r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3) & -1 & -h_{ab} f_2^a r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -h_{ab} f_2^a r + \mathcal{O}(r^2) & 0 & h_{ab} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 6|\pi|^2 + 2Re\Psi_2 - \frac{R}{12} + 2\Phi_{11}, \\ f_2^a &= \pi m^a + \bar{\pi}\bar{m}^a, \\ h_{ab} &= m_a \bar{m}_b + m_b \bar{m}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here h_{ab} is the intrinsic metric of section $\hat{\Delta}$ which is a 2-dimensional space section surrounding the black hole. $\pi = \bar{m}^a l^b \nabla_b n_a$, $\Psi_2 = -C_{abcd} l^a m^b n^c \bar{m}^d$ and $\Phi_{11} = S_{ab}(l^a n^b + m^a \bar{m}^b)/4$ are the standard notions in Newman-Penrose formalism [23]. Here C_{abcd} is the Weyl tensor, $S_{ab} = R_{ab} - R g_{ab}/4$ is the traceless Ricci tensor. κ_l is the surface gravity of hypersurafce Δ. We will replace κ_l by an abbreviated notation κ in the following part.

From the deviation of the near horizon metric (10), we know that the parameters in (11) are all time independent on the horizon [21]. But the higher-order terms in the metric (10) can be time dependent. For this reason, isolated horizons can be used to describe non-stationary cases. On the other hand, only the near horizon limit metric is needed in the MP's method to calculate the entropy of isolated horizon. The high order of metric has no contribution to the final result. Thus our result is applicable for non-stationary horizons. We will discuss more about this at the end of this paper.

For later use, we transform to Schwarzchild-like coordinate by a coordinate transformation

$$dt = du + \frac{dr}{2\kappa r} \quad , \quad d\rho = dr. \tag{12}$$

One then get an asymptotic form near the horizon in Schwarzschild-like coordinates.

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{uu} dt^2 - (\frac{g_{uu}}{\kappa\rho} + 2) dt d\rho + (\frac{g_{uu}}{4\kappa^2\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\kappa\rho}) d\rho^2 \\ &\quad + 2 g_{ui} dt dx^i - \frac{g_{ui}}{\kappa\rho} d\rho dx^i + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here $g_{uu} = -2\kappa\rho + (h_{ab} f_2^a f_2^b - f_1)\rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3)$, $g_{ui} = -h_{ab} f_2^a \rho + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$. The horizon is located in $r = \rho = 0$.

4 Boundary conditions

Now we have the near horizon metric of isolated horizon. To derive the entropy of IHs, the diffeomorphism vector ξ is remained to be worked out. In the previous work, only $r - t$ plane is considered due to the symmetry of metric. The other components of ξ is zero. However, in our case, IH is more complicated since we do not know the symmetries of isolated horizon. Thus there is no good reason why the other components should not be considered.

To be rigorous, we impose the following boundary conditions from the very beginning inspired by the work of Strominger [24].

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(r) & \mathcal{O}(r) & \mathcal{O}(r) \\ \mathcal{O}(r) & \mathcal{O}(r) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

where $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is the deviation of the full metric from the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}$. This boundary condition is physically acceptable since

the deviations are subleading compared to the full metric except δg_{tt} and δg_{ti} are of the same order as the leading terms in (10). We come up with this boundary conditions by assuming the existence of a non-trivial Virasoro algebra. As stressed by Strominger, the boundary conditions should not be too strong or too weak. If the boundary conditions are too strong, all interesting information is ruled out and a trivial result will be got. If the boundary conditions are too weak, we are unable to select the effective information from the full set of diffeomorphism vectors. For example, if we set $\delta g_{ti} \sim \mathcal{O}(r)$ or $\delta g_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(r^2)$, we will not get desired algebra any more. We have not found other consistent boundary conditions, though we cannot prove that condition (14) is unique. In fact, these boundary conditions are well satisfied in the previous work [14, 15, 16].

By requiring $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} \sim \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim \delta g_{\mu\nu}$, the general diffeomorphism vectors which preserve this boundary conditions have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^u &= F(u,x) + r^2 F_1(u,x) + \mathcal{O}(r^3), \\ \xi^r &= -r\partial_u F(u,x) + \mathcal{O}(r^2), \\ \xi^i &= r^2 G_1(u,x) + \mathcal{O}(r^3). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here $F(u,x)$ and $G_1(u,x)$ are regular functions on horizon. The higher order in ξ may be omitted since it has no affection on the charges in the following calculations.

Transforming to Schwarzchild-like coordinate system, the diffeomorphism vector becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^t &= T - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\partial_t T + \mathcal{O}(r^2), \\ \xi^\rho &= -r\partial_t T + \mathcal{O}(r^2), \\ \xi^i &\sim \mathcal{O}(r^2), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

in which $T(\rho,t,x) = F(u,x)$.

Omitting $\mathcal{O}(r^2)$, it is obvious that the diffeomorphism vector ξ and the charge Q_ξ are linear in T. If we expand T in terms of a set of basis function T_m with

$$T = \sum_m A_m T_m, \quad A_m^* = A_{-m}, \tag{17}$$

we get the corresponding ξ_m^a and Q_m expressed in the same forms as T replaced by T_m.

Since there is no symmetry here, the explicit form of T_m may be difficult to be determined. Nonetheless, inspired by previous work, such as [9, 25], we can guess the general form of T_m as $T_m = \frac{1}{\alpha} exp[im\alpha g(x,t,\rho)]$ where α is an arbitrary constant, m is an integer and $g(x,t,\rho)$ is a function of the coordinates. The basic function T_m should be orthogonal

$$\int \sqrt{h} d^2x T_m T_n = \frac{A}{\alpha^2}\delta_{m+n} \tag{18}$$

and guarantee the Virasoro algebra

$$[\xi_m, \xi_n] = -i(m-n)\xi_{m+n}, \tag{19}$$

in which A is the area of the 2-dimensional cross section $\hat{\Delta}$. The coefficient $\frac{A}{\alpha^2}$ in Eq.(18) is naturally determined by $\int \sqrt{h} d^2x \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = \frac{A}{\alpha^2}$ when $m+n=0$. Substituting the general form of T_m to the commutator (19), we require

$$\partial_t g = 1 \quad , \quad \partial_\rho g = -\frac{1}{2\kappa\rho}. \tag{20}$$

Thus the general form of T_m which satisfies the orthogonal relation (18) and the Virasoro algebra (19) is

$$T_m \quad = \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} exp[im(\alpha t + \int \frac{-\alpha}{2\kappa\rho} d\rho + P(x))]. \tag{21}$$

Here $P(x)$ is a regular function satisfying (18) on the horizon. This coincides with the previous work.

5 Entropy of isolated horizons

The required diffeomorphism vectors of isolated horizon have been worked out. Combining Eq.(4, 5, 6), we can calculate the entropy of isolated horizons.

For Einstein gravity, the boundary term is the extrinsic curvature of the boundary. Taking a hypersurface $\rho = \rho_c$, we get a covector $N_a = \frac{(d\rho)_a}{\sqrt{g^{\rho\rho}}} = \frac{(d\rho)_a}{\sqrt{g^{rr}}}$. It is asymptotic orthogonal to the horizon $\rho = 0$. The trace of the extrinsic curvature is

$$K = -\nabla_a N^a \quad = \quad -\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2r}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{22}$$

M_a in Eq.(5) for the isolated horizon is $M_a = -\frac{(dt)_a}{\sqrt{-\gamma^{tt}}} = -\sqrt{g^{rr}}(dt)_a$. N_a and M_a are asymptotic normal to each other $N^a M_a = 1 + \frac{g^{rr}}{2\kappa r} \sim \mathcal{O}(r)$ near the horizon.

Plugging (4,16) to (5), the charge in the near horizon limit $\rho \to 0$ becomes

$$Q_\xi \quad = \quad \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int \sqrt{h} d^2x \left[\kappa T - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t T \right]. \tag{23}$$

For component T_m, we get

$$Q_m = \frac{A}{8\pi G} \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \delta_{m,0}, \tag{24}$$

The commutators of charges lead to

$$[Q_n, Q_m] \quad = \quad \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int \sqrt{h} d^2x [(\kappa(T_n \partial_t T_m - T_m \partial_t T_n)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(T_n\partial_t^2 T_m - T_m\partial_t^2 T_n) \tag{25}$$
$$\frac{1}{4\kappa_l}(\partial_t T_n\partial_t^2 T_m - \partial_t T_m\partial_t^2 T_n)]$$

in the near horizon limit. Substituting the explicit expression of T_m, we get

$$[Q_n, Q_m] = \frac{i\kappa A}{8\pi G\alpha}(m-n)\delta_{m+n,0} - im^3\frac{\alpha A}{16\pi G\kappa}\delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{26}$$

Then the central charge C and zero mode Q_0 can be read off.

$$\frac{C}{12} = \frac{A}{16\pi G}\frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \quad , \quad Q_0 = \frac{A}{8\pi G}\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}. \tag{27}$$

Using the Cardy formula, we get the entropy of IH

$$S = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{CQ_0}{6}} = \frac{A}{4G}. \tag{28}$$

This is exactly the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of IH as expected, which is consistent with the results in [21, 26] from other methods.

6 Summary and discussions

The entropy of isolated horizon was derived in MP's approach. Here we have used the general near horizon limit metric of the IH. No inner symmetry is involved in our derivation. In previous related works, authors always start from static spherical metric to do calculations. We note that near horizon metric of general spacetimes, stationary or non-stationary, can be put into one unified form. And we demonstrate that only the near horizon metric is needed to derive the entropy in MP's method. So our calculations are not limited to static spherical metrics, but also valid for more general stationary metrics. Moreover, the most significant thing is that our calculations also include the non-stationary cases, for which we do not have an explicit metric in general. Fortunately, we can get the near horizon metric of IHs which have the unified form as (10). The time dependent behavior of the metric is at high order and turns out to have no influence on the horizon entropy. As a result, all cases appear to have the same entropy formula, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula in Einstein gravity. The result we derived agrees well with the one derived by other methods (thermodynamics, etc). Especially, it has been proved that all the axi-symmetric electromagnetic vacuum extreme IHs coincide with the extreme Kerr-Newman event horizon[27]. Thus this method is naturally effective for charged rotating black holes. For electromagnetic vacuum extreme IHs, it coincides with the result in [21]. So our calculations give a general proof that MP's method is universal.

We would like to mention our boundary conditions here. In previous works, such as [14, 15, 16], they did not give the boundary conditions explicitly due

to the symmetries of background. While for genereal IHs, there is no inner symmetry compared to the previous work, so the asymptotic diffeomorphism vector ξ which preserves the boundary condition is more complicated. To be rigorous, we imposed our boundary conditions near the horizon from the very begining. The asymptotic diffeomorphism vector respecting the boundary conditions is then calculated. Consistency requires that ξ be well defined and form a Virasoro algebra. The boundary conditions we imposed satisfy this requirement. The full set of the diffeomorphism of the theory is then reduced to a subset which respects the existence of horizon in a given coordinate system, and the original gauge degrees of freedom can be thought of as being effectively upgraded to physical degrees of freedom as far as a particular class of observers are concerned.

In our calculation, the dimension of spacetime seems to be not important, though calculations will be more complicated. High dimensional isolated horizons can be considered in future. To be even more general, one can consider the IHs with comological constant.

Acknowledgements

We are very greatful to Prof. Bin Wang for helpful suggestions and discussions. This work is partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11175245, 11075206.

References

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7(1973) 2333.

[2] S. W. Hawking, Nature 248 (1974) 30.

[3] A. Strominger, J. High Energy Phys. 9802, 009 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9712251].

[4] J. D. Brown and M. Henneax, Commun.Math. Phys. 104, 207 (1986).

[5] J. L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B 270 (1986) 186.

[6] H. W. J. Bloete, J. L. Cardy and M. P. Nightingale, Phys, Rev. Lett. 56,742 (1986).

[7] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. 15, 3609 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9806026].

[8] S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2828 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812013].

[9] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. 16, 3327(1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9906126].

[10] B. R. Majhi and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev.D 85 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1809[gr-qc]].

[11] J. W.York, Phys. Rev. Letts, 28, 1082 (1972).

[12] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2752-2756(1977).

[13] Mukhopadhyay A and Padmanabhan T, Phys. Rev. D 74, 124023[arXiv:hep-th/0608120];
S.Kolekar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 82, 024036 (2010) [arXiv:1005.0619[gr-qc]];
T. Padmanabhan, Braz. J. Phys.35, 362 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qr/0412068].

[14] B. R. Majhi and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev.D 86 (2012) [arXiv:1204.1422[gr-qc]];

[15] B. R. Majhi, Advances in High Energy Physics 2013 (2013) 386342 [arXiv:1210.6736[gr-qc]].

[16] S. J. Zhang and B. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 87, 044041 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6896[hep-th]].

[17] T. Padmanabhan, D. Kothawala, Physics Reports 531: 115-171 (2013) [arXiv:1302.2151 [gr-qc]].
T. Katsuragawa, S. Nojiri, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 104032 [arXiv:1304.3181[hep-th]].
K. Hirochi, [arXiv:1304.7085 [hep-th]].
O. Dreyer, A. Ghosh, A. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D 89, 024035 (2014) [arXiv:1306.5063 [gr-qc]].
K. Meng, Z. N. Hu, L. Zhao, [arXiv:1403.1921 [gr-qc]].

[18] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and S. Fairhurst, Class. Quant. Grav. 16 (1999) L1 [arXiv:gr-qc/9812065].
A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, O. Dreyer, S. Fairhurst, B. Krishnan, J. Lewandowski and J. Wisniewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 3564.
A. Ashtekar, C. Beelte and J. Lewandowski, Class. Quant. Grav. 19 (2002) 1195 [arXiv:gr-qc/0111067].

[19] A. Ashtekar and B. Krishnan, Living Rev. Relativity 7 (2004) 10. [Online Article]: http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2004-10. [arXiv: gr-qc/0407042].

[20] J. Lewandowski, Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) L53-L59. [arXiv:gr-qc/ 9907058].
J. Lewandowski and T. Pawlowski, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D11 (2002) 739-746.

[21] X.-N. Wu, Y. Tian, Phys. Rev. D 80, 024014(2009). [arXiv:0904.1554 [hep-th]].
C.-Y. Zhang, Y. Tian and X.-N. Wu, [arXiv:1311.3384 [gr-qc]].

[22] H. Friedrich, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 378 (1981) 169-184, 401-421.
A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, J. Lewandowski, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 1195-1225, 2002. [arXiv:gr-qc/0111067].

[23] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, E. Herlt, Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations, Cambridge University Press 2003.

[24] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D. 80. 124008. [arXiv:0809.4266[hep-th]].

[25] S.Silva, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 3947 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204179].

[26] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, K. Krasnov, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 4 (2000) 1-94 [arXiv:gr-qc/0005126].
A. Ghosh, A. Perez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 241301 (2011) [arXiv:1107.1320 [gr-qc]].
M. Domagala, J. Lewandowski, Class.Quant.Grav. 21 (2004) 5233-5244 [arXiv:gr-qc/0407051].

[27] J. Lewandowski, T. Pawlowski, Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 587-606. arXiv:gr-qc/0208032